

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/621,553	07/17/2003	Erhard Anton	551.1006	1323	
23280	7590 08/10/2005		EXAMINER		
DAVIDSO	N, DAVIDSON & KAI	BECK, DAVID THOMAS			
485 SEVENTH AVENUE, 14TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10018		OCK	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW TOR	K, 141 10010		1732		
			DATE MAILED: 08/10/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			(h)
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/621,553	ANTON, ERHARD	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David T. Beck	1732	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion of the period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thir iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become Al	eply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this communicat  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ T 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. wance except for formal mat	• •	is
Disposition of Claims		ı	
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application 4a). Of the above claim(s) <u>5-15</u> is/are withdrasts.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 17 July 2003 is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecthe drawing(s) be held in abeyartection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a least term of the priority documents.	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	_	•	
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/12/04.</li> </ol>	Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 1732

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States:
- 2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jurgenhake (DE 4005399 C1).

With regard to claim 1, Jurgenhake teaches a method for assuring a quality of a crimp joint on a crimping device, the method comprising: continuously measuring an actual value of a crimp parameter of the crimp joint based on a respective setpoint value of the crimp parameter within a defined upper and lower tolerance value; and effecting a readjustment of a crimp height after the actual value reaches a correction value of the crimp parameter (English abstract).

With regard to claim 2, Jurgenhake teaches that the crimp parameter includes at least one of a crimp height and a crimp force (English abstract).

With regard to claim 3, Jurgenhake teaches that the correction value is a mean value of the measured actual values (English abstract).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Application/Control Number: 10/621,553

Art Unit: 1732

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jurgenhake (DE 4005399 C1) in view of Hahn et al (4,800,050).

With regard to claim 4, Jurgenhake teaches the invention of claim 1, but does not explicitly teach that the correction value corresponds to approximately half of the upper or lower tolerance value. Hahn et al teach that the correction value corresponds to approximately half of the upper or lower tolerance value (column 13, lines 54-61). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use a correction value that corresponds to approximately half of the upper or lower tolerance value in the process taught by Jurgenhake. The motivation to do so would have been to find the optimum operation parameters (Hahn et al, column 4, lines 24-26).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David T. Beck whose telephone number is 571-272-2942. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8AM - 5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni can be reached on 517-272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1732

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DTB July 28, 2005

DIB

MICHAEL P. COLAIANNI

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER